



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/691,053	10/19/2000	Zvia Agur	Q60688	5359	
5	7590 04/07/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MORAN, MA	ARJORIE A	
	N, DC 20037-3213	• • •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/691,053	AGUR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communicate eriod for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a station. 8 ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>12 February 2004</u> .	
,	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>234-247 and 466-479</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>235-247 and 467-479</u> is/are re	ejected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>234, 237, 466-467 and 469</u> is		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	) accepted or b) dobjected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objectio		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,	
1. Certified copies of the priority do		
2. Certified copies of the priority do		
3. Copies of the certified copies of tagging application from the International		n received in this National Stage

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PT	O-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6)	Other:

Art Unit: 1631

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/3/03 has been entered. Claims 234-247 and 466-479 are pending.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. All rejections and objections not reiterated below are hereby withdrawn. In view of the AGUR declaration and arguments filed 9/3/03, the rejections made under 35 USC 103 are hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Objections

Claims 234, 237, 466-467 and 469 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 234, line 7, the terms "the said" is redundant. The examiner recommends deleting "said" in line 7. In claims 237 and 469, the term "is" in line 2 should be deleted. In claim 466 and 467, the term --cancer-- should be inserted before "system" in lines 6 and 1, respectively.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1631

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 246-247 and 478-479 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a NEW MATTER rejection.

Claims 246 and 478 recite "pharmacodynamics and dynamics of dose-limiting toxicity in host tissues". The actual term "pharmacodynamics" is recited in the specification, as argued by applicant in the response filed 11/3/03; however, there is no disclosure of either "pharmacodynamics of dose-limiting toxicity in host tissues" nor for "dynamics of host-limiting toxicity in host tissues" anywhere in the specification. The original claims did not recite any limitations with regard to "dose-limiting toxicity in host tissues". Applicant does not point to support for the amendment and none is apparent, therefore claim 246 is rejected for reciting new matter.

Claims 247 and 479 recite pathologic and cytologic "markers". The original claims recited pathologic and cytologic "specifics". The originally filed specification did not disclose "markers". One skilled in the art does no generally regard the terms "specifics" and "markers" and being synonymous terms. Applicant does point to support anywhere for the new limitations of claim 247, and none is apparent, therefore claim 247 is rejected for reciting new matter.

Art Unit: 1631

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 235-247 and 467-479 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 235 and 467 recite the broad recitation drugs, and the claim also recites "including chemotherapy", which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Amended claims 246 and 478 recite "patient specific drug pharmacokinetics". As argued in the response flied 11/3/03, the term "pharmacokinetics" is intended to be

Art Unit: 1631

given its plain meaning of "the study of bodily absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of drugs." If pharmacokinetics is intended to be study of drug behavior, then it is unclear what is meant by *parameters* which are "patient specific" pharmacokinetics. Does applicant intend that the parameters comprise the study itself, as the study of the various drug behaviors relates to an individual patient? Does applicant intend that the parameters comprise parameters derived somehow from a study of drug behavior in an individual?

#### **Conclusion**

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marjorie A. Moran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0720. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Wed, 7:30-4; Thurs 7:30-6; Fri 7-1 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571)272-0722. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1631

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner

May is a. Moron

mam